UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/553,825 | 02/28/2008 | Keith S. Kyler | 20030081 | 3842 | |
| | 22500 7590 11/02/2009 BAE SYSTEMS | | | EXAMINER | |
| PO BOX 868 | 02061 0060 | PARSA, JAFAR F | | | |
| NASHUA, NH 03061-0868 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1621 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 11/02/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/553,825 | KYLER ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Jafar Parsa | 1621 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Fee</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers | vn from consideration. r election requirement. | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/21/2009. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ite | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim 18 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cazenove et al (USPN 4,022,844) in view of Coon et al (Journal of Organic Chemistry (1973), 38 (25), 4243-4248.

Applicants' claimed invention is directed to a process for preparing trinitrololuene (TNT) comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 1621

(a) treating toluene with nitric acid to produce dinitrotolucne (DNT); and

(b) then treating the DNT formed in step (b) with nitric acid and trifluoromethanesulfonic acid to produce the TNT.

Cazenove teaches a process for the continuous production of trinitrotoluene by dinitrating and trinitrating mononitrotoluene in the presence of concentrated nitric acid and concentrated sulphuric acid or fuming sulphuric acid, in which the trinitration step is carried out in a group of pieces of equipment in which the reagents flow in co-current, this group comprising at least two stages, each stage having at least two nitration reactors in series followed by a separator and the first of the reactors of each stage being supplied separately with a mixture of concentrated sulphuric acid or fuming sulphuric acid and nitric acid. See col. 2, lines 33-45.

Cazenove teaches that the nitric acid used to supply the trinitration reactors preferably has a concentration of at least 98% by weight and the concentrated sulphuric acid used preferably has a concentration of at least 96% by weight. When concentrated sulphuric acid is used, the trinitration step preferably comprises at least 3 stages. See col. 3, lines 23-28. The nitration conducted at a temperature form 40 to 100 °C. See the Table in col. 5.

The difference between Cazenove and the claimed invention is that the instant claims require a trifluoromethanesulfonic (TFMSA) acid instead of concentrated sulfuric acid. However, Coon teaches that trifluoromethanesulfonic acid or sulfuric acid can be used interchangeably in the nitration of aromatic compounds.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,825

Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

Coon teaches that the nitration of aromatic compounds is accomplished by nitrating reagent not previously reported. Two equivalences of trifluoromethanesulfonic (I) and 1 one nitric acid combine to form a white crystalline solid that has been identified as a mixture of NO2+CF3SO3- (II) and monohydrate of I. II is an excellent nitrating reagent in inert organic solvents, H2SO4 or CF3SO3H, and has been used to nitrate PhMe, C6H6, PhNO2, PhCl, m-C6H4Me2, and PhCF3. Nitration with II have been carried out over a temperature range of -110 to +30 0C, yield are consistently >98% and exceptionally high positional selectivity has been demonstrated. See abstract. It would therefore have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use trifluoromethanesulfonic acid in the nitration of aromatic compounds, in order to obtain a yield consistently >98% with exceptionally high positional selectivity.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jafar Parsa whose telephone number is (571)272-0643. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 a.m.-5:30 p.m. (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Sullivan can be reached on 571-272-0779. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,825 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Jafar Parsa/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1621